

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/517,898	03/03/2000	Ronald Vogels	5448	
7590 11/07/2003			EXAMINER	
Allen C Turner			LI, QIAN JANICE	
Trask Britt & Rossa P O Box 2550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Salt Lake City, UT 84110			1632	

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/517,898	VOGELS ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Q. Janice Li	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she t with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a n places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one televel than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s): See Continuation Sheet.					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	·					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>27 and 51</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappr	roved by the Examiner.				
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		<i>y</i>				
ANNE M. WEHBE' PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER						
	Λ.	١,٨				
	1000	102				

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 1). 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stevenson et a and 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wickham et al (US 6,329,190).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 27 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph for reasons of record. In the response, applicants indicated that it is not essential the adv 5 be used as a backbone vector to place the fiber knob on top of, and subgroup C is a very useful backbone vector. The argument is not persuasive because the claims are drawn to any recombinant adenovirus from any serotype, not limited to group C adenoviruses, which would have other fiber knob in addition to the chimeric knob recited in claim 27. Applicants also argue that the claimed method does not replace an entire fiber, but utilizes a chimeric fiber, wherein the fiber tail is of the same serotype as the penton base for proper fiber protein function and stabilization. It is noted that the claims do not have such structural limitation.

,